



Załącznik nr 2 do uchwały Rady NCN nr 62/2020 z dnia 22 maja 2020 r.

DAINA 2 – Polish-Lithuanian Funding Initiative
Call for proposals 2020
EVALUATION SHEET FOR REVIEWERS IN NCN

- Does the project meet the criteria of basic research?^{1,2}

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

- Have the ethics issues been duly addressed?³

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

- Has Data management been duly planned?³

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

- Has the proposal been prepared in a reliable manner?²

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

- Does the project meet the criteria of a scientific proposal?²

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

- Does the proposal meet other eligibility criteria outlined in the call for proposals?²

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

-Has the proposal been submitted to correct review panel?⁴

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

¹ Pursuant to Article 4 (2) (1) of the Act on Higher Education and Science of 20 July 2018, basic research shall mean experimental or theoretical work undertaken primarily to acquire new knowledge of the underlying foundations of phenomena and observable facts, without any particular commercial application or use in view.

² This criterion is not subject to assessment by external reviewers.

³ If the criterion does not apply to research, a “yes” decision is given.

⁴ Does not apply to proposals that have been assigned an auxiliary NCN Review Panels specifying disciplines covered by NCN review panels other than the one to which the proposal was submitted.



I. APPLICANTS (40%)

Please assess the PIs' expertise to conduct the proposed research project, their research portfolio, their preliminary work and previous international cooperation.

Answer

5 outstanding,
4 very good,
3 good,
2 moderate,
1 poor,
0 very poor.

Justification – please review Principal Investigators' expertise only.

II. RESEARCH PROJECT (50%)

How do you judge the academic merits of the research project?

Please assess the innovative nature of the project, the impact of the research project on advances in the academic discipline and international cooperation, the scientific level of the objectives and work programme, the integrated approach of the project, risk management and the added value of bilateral cooperation. (*Please evaluate all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description Template*).

Answer

5 excellent,
4 very good,
3 good,
2 average,
1 poor,
0 very poor.

Justification – please comment on all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description.

III. FEASIBILITY AND FINANCE (10%)

How do you judge the feasibility of the project? Please assess whether the project is **fully feasible** (e.g. by the composition of the research teams, research facilities, infrastructure, the presented model of project management) or whether the project is **partially feasible** (i.e. it presents some key weaknesses as regards the composition of research teams or the development/availability of the research facilities, infrastructure and proposed model of project management) or the project is characterized as being poorly **feasible**.

Assessment of feasibility

2 – full feasibility of the project
1 – partial feasibility of the project
0 – poor feasibility of the project



Assessment of costs

2 – the cost estimate is justified

1 – the cost estimate is partly justified

0 – the cost estimate is not justified

Justification – please comment on the project's feasibility and the appropriateness of the requested funds.

IV. SUMMARY OF THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE PROPOSAL

Strengths

Weaknesses